



Impact of agrochemicals on the soil fertility and biodiversity of soil arthropods

Kalpana Singh

M. K. G. D. College. Ninowa, Farrukhabad, U.P., India

Corresponding email: drkalpanasingh4@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Original Research Article

Received on November 25, 2024

Revised on November 28, 2024

Accepted on December 20, 2024

Published on December 28, 2024

Article Author

Kalpana Singh

Corresponding Author Email

drkalpanasingh4@gmail.com

PUBLICATION INFO

International Journal of
Agricultural Invention (IJAI)

RNI: UPENG/2016/70091

ISSN: 2456-1797 (P)

Vol.: 9, Issue: 2, Pages: 264-267

Journal Homepage URL

<http://agriinventionjournal.com/>

DOI: 10.46492/IJAI/2024.9.2.39

ABSTRACT

The soil is home to a large proportion of the world's genetic diversity. The linkages between soil organisms and soil functions are observed to be incredibly complex. The interconnectedness and complexity of this soil food web means any appraisal of soil function must necessarily take into account interactions with the living communities that exist within the soil. The biomass of the soil fauna of the earth is approximately 20 times the biomass of the human beings living on the earth. It is an established fact that no soil is healthy unless it harbors soil organisms. A great variety of fauna inhabit soil. The soil as a complex environment affords high degree of protection from predators, protection from physical factors and provides access to large quantities of living plant material as food supply for soil arthropods which is the largest phylum of the animal kingdom. About 95% of all insects spend at least part of their life cycle within the soil. Some of these enter the soil merely to rest such as cut worm larva, may enter the soil for winter hibernation and a large proportion enter the soil for pupation.

KEYWORDS

Soil, Environment, Protection, Animal

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Singh, K. (2024) Impact of agrochemicals on the soil fertility and biodiversity of soil arthropods, *International Journal of Agricultural Invention*, 9(2): 264-267. DOI: 10.46492/IJAI/2024.9.2.39

Among soil fauna, fungi- detritivores such as Collembola, oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida), earthworms and enchytraeids (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) take part in important ecosystem functions such as decomposition, nutrient mobilization, soil mixing and aggregate formation (Setälä and Huhta, 1991; Laakso and Setälä, 1999). Moreover, predatory soil fauna--mesostigmatid mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) and larger arthropods such as beetles, spiders and ants--may through predation regulate the populations of other faunal groups (Hyvönen and Persson, 1996; Huhta *et al.*, 1998) and thereby possibly influence decomposition processes. In spite of their role in decomposition and the fact that soil organisms make up a substantial part of the global biodiversity (Adams and Wall, 2000) many of these species remain poorly known.

Even the functional specificity of many common soil organism species is unclear. It is likely that, for example, many of the fungi- and detritivorous animals have similar functions, but the enchytraeid *Cognettia sphagnetorum* (Laakso and Setälä, 1999) and some oribatid mites and collembolans (Hagvar, 1998; Mebes and Filser, 1998) may be functionally specific under certain conditions. Avoiding severe declines in the diversity of soil communities can therefore be seen as an insurance against possible disturbances of ecosystem functions. However, on a community level we know that soil fauna responds to many different environmental variables, and because they can indicate environmental stress through changes in species or community structure (Higvar, 1994 and Van Straalen, 1998) they can also be used as important indicators.

Soil organisms contribute a wide range of essential services to the sustainable functioning of all ecosystems. They act as the primary driving agents of nutrient cycling, regulating the dynamics of soil organic matter, soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions; modifying soil physical structure and water regimes, enhancing the amount and efficiency of nutrient acquisition by the vegetation and enhancing plant health. These services are not only essential to the functioning of natural ecosystems but constitute an important resource for sustainable agricultural systems. Direct and indirect benefits of improving soil biological management in agricultural systems include economic, environmental and food security benefits.

Economic Benefits

Soil biological management reduces input costs by enhancing resource use efficiency (especially decomposition and nutrient cycling, nitrogen fixation and water storage and movement). Less fertilizer may be needed if nutrient cycling becomes more efficient and less fertilizer is leached from the rooting zone. Fewer pesticides are needed where a diverse set of pest-control organisms is active. As soil structure improves, the availability of water and nutrients to plants also improves. It is estimated that the value of ecosystem services (e.g. organic waste disposal, soil formation, bioremediation, N fixation and biocontrol) provided each year by soil biota in agricultural systems worldwide may exceed US\$ 1,542 billion.

Environmental Protection

Soil organisms filter and detoxify chemicals and absorb the excess nutrients that would otherwise become pollutants when they reach groundwater or surface water. The conservation and management of soil biota help to prevent pollution and land degradation, especially through minimizing the use of agro-chemicals and maintaining/enhancing soil structure and cation exchange capacity (CEC). Excessive reduction in soil biodiversity, especially the loss of keystone species or species with unique functions, for example, as a result of excess chemicals, compaction or disturbance, may have catastrophic ecological effects leading to loss of agricultural productive capacity.

Food Security

Soil biological management can improve soil health, crop yield and quality, especially through controlling pests and diseases and enhancing plant growth. Below-ground biodiversity determines resource use efficiency, as well as the sustainability and resilience of low-input agro-ecological systems, which ensure the food security of much of the world's population. In addition, some soil organisms are consumed as an important source of protein by different cultures and others are used for medicinal purposes. At least 32 Amerindian groups in the Amazon basin use terrestrial invertebrates as food, and especially, as sources of animal protein - strategy that takes advantage of the abundance of these highly renewable elements of the rainforest ecosystem.

Apart from these benefits, several species of soil arthropods are associated with many agricultural crops from sowing to harvesting and sometimes causing considerable damage to their crops. Due to the subterranean habits and sporadic attacks, these soil pests have not received sufficient attention from the economic entomologists. The members of Diplopoda Symphyla, Crustacea, Arachnida and Insecta are known to cause damage to a wide variety of crops.

Impact of Plant Protection Inputs

Anthropogenic activities such as indiscriminate use of fertilizers and pesticides, disposal of industrial and domestic effluents, municipal sewage sludge and solid waste cause undesirable changes in the physical, chemical and biological parameters of the soil. Of the soil pollutants, effects of pesticides and particularly the effects of insecticides on soil fauna have been investigated to certain extent comparatively less information is available on However their effects on soil arthropod populations Relatively few field studies of pesticide side effects have investigated beneficial arthropods' population recovery responses, though recovery may be ecologically more important than the initial effect. Detection of long-term effects and the subsequent recovery of populations, communities or ecosystems depend on adequate temporal scales of study.

This is because effects of pleated applications of pesticides may develop slowly¹⁰, or persist over more than one season, whilst sporadically-occurring species rare events or cyclic phenomena could be missed in a short-term study. Even a single pesticide application may lead to ecological changes over several years, the abundance of in micro arthropods (Krogh, 1999). Such 'transient dynamics' are difficult to foresee and endorse the need for long-term ecological studies (Tilman, 1988). When chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin were used frequently in plant Protection, effects of chlorpyrifos on arthropod abundance and taxonomic richness ere consistently negative whereas effects of cypermethrin were negative for predatory arthropods but positive for soil surface Collembola. Pirimicarb effects ere marginal, primarily on aphids and their antagonists, with no effect on the Collembola community. Collembola-predator ratios were significantly higher following cypermethrin treatment, suggesting that Cypermethrin-induced increases in collembolan abundance represent classical resurgence, Observations other studies suggest Collembola resurgences in typical after synthetic pyrethroid applications may be to Collembola responses to insecticides differed among species, both in terms of effect magnitude and persistence, taxonomic monitoring would of course suggest that detect pesticide risks. These findings have implications for pesticide risk assessments and for the selection of indicator species 13.

When foliar and soil insecticide applications on collembola density and community structure were investigated in vegetable fields, both insecticides dimethoate and chlorpyrifos were lethal to Collembola and insecticide applications and resulted in a strong decline in the density of total Collembola. Application of chlorpyrifos reduced collembola density to a greater extent than dimethoate; the effect of the combined application on total collembola numbers was similar to that of chlorpyrifos only. Collembola numbers recovered after the insecticide applications in 1999, but in the treated plots populations were still reduced in March 2000 before the re-application of insecticide treatments in that year. The insecticide applications changed the dominance structure of the collembolan community, but had no effects on species composition.

Use of herbicides like atrazine also had a deleterious effect on the population of collembola. Well documented that the chitin synthesis inhibitors also have undesirable effects on the collembolan diversity and abundance at higher concentrations (Campiche, 2006).

Managing and Restoring Soil Biological Activity

The goal of managing the soil biological community is to improve biological functions, including forming and stabilizing soil structure, cycling nutrients, controlling pests and disease, and degrading or contaminants Research shows that management detoxifying practices and disturbances impact soil biological functions because they the microbial habitat, add to can enhance or degrade resources and directly add or kill soil organisms. or remove food Although management practices impact soil biology, there is limited knowledge known to support the development management strategies. A particular practice may have the desired result in one situation but have little effect in another because biological multiple factors including food sources, physical communities respond to the interaction of habitat, moisture, and impacts of historical land use. Soil biota eats, grow and reproduce within the soil environment. They need food, eat, grow and reproduce within conducive soil habitat and, in the cases of ecological principles behind soil biological symbionts, a host organism, to survive. The management that needs to be understood and practical is as under.

Supply of Organic Matter for Food

Each type of soil organism occupies a different niche in the web of life and favors a different substrate and nutrient source. Thus, a rich supply and varied source of organic matter will generally support a wider variety of organisms. Organic matter may come from crop residues at the soil surface, root and cover crops, animal manure, green manure, compost and other sources. Increased supply of organic matter such as straw litter resulted in increased activity of earthworm *Dichogaster bolau* with desirable CN ratio. Further, various earthworm species were abundant in cultivated soils supplied with rich organic matter (Singh, 1997).

Increased Plant Diversity

Crops should be mixed and their spatial-temporal distribution varied to create a greater diversity of niches and resources that stimulate soil biodiversity. Each crop contributes a unique root structure and type of residue to the soil. A diversity of soil organisms can help control pest populations, and a diversity of cultural practices can reduce weed and disease pressures. Several strategies could indirectly or directly contribute to creating different habitats to support complex mixes of soil organisms, for example:

- Landscape diversity, over space and time, can be increased by using buffer strips, small fields, contour strip cropping, crop rotation, and by varying tillage practices.
- A changing vegetation cover and sequence increases plant diversity and the types of insects, micro-organisms and wildlife that live on the farm.
- Crop rotations encourage the presence of a wider variety of organisms, improves nutrient cycling and natural processes of pest and disease control.

Protecting the Habitat of Soil Organisms

Soil biodiversity can be stimulated by improving soil living conditions such as accretion, temperature, moisture and nutrient quantity and quality, for example through: reducing tillage and maximizing soil cover, minimizing compaction, minimizing the use of pesticides as herbicides and fertilizers and improving drainage.

References

- Adams, G. A. and Wall, D. H. (2000) Biodiversity above and below the surface of soils and sediments: linkages and implications for global change, *Bioscience*, **50**: 1043-1048.
- Campiche, S. K., Becker-van Slooten, C., Ridreau, J. and Tarradellas (2006) Effects of insect growth regulators on the non-target soil arthropod *Folsomia candida* (Collembola), *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **63**: 216-225
- Frampton, G. V. and Brink, V. D. (2007) Collembola and micro arthropod community responses to carbamate, organophosphate and synthetic pyrethroid insecticides: Direct and indirect effects, *Environmental Pollution*, **147**: 14-25
- Hagvar, S. (1998) The relevance of the Rio-Convention on biodiversity to conserving the biodiversity of soils, *Applied Soil Ecology*, **9**: 1-7.
- Higvar, S. (1994) Log-normal distribution of dominance as an indicator of stressed soil microarthropod communities? *Acta Zoologica Fennica*, **195**: 71-80.
- Huhta, V. Persson, T. and Setälä, H. (1998) Functional implications of soil fauna diversity in boreal forests, *Applied Soil Ecology*, **10**: 277-288.
- Hyvönen, R. and Persson, T. (1996) Effects of on fungivorous and predatory arthropods nematodes and tardigrades in microcosms with coniferous forest soil, *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **21**: 121-127.
- Krogh, P. H. (1999) Perturbation of the soil micro arthropod community with the pesticides benomyl and isofenphos, *Pedobiologia*, **35**: 71- 88.
- Laakso, J. and Setälä, H. (1999) Sensitivity of primary production to changes in the architecture of belowground food webs, *Oikos*, **87**: 57-64.
- Mebes, K. H., Filser, J. (1998) Does the species composition of Collembola affect nitrogen turnover? *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, **9**: 241-247.
- Oglvy, S. E., Gree, M. R., Mills, A. R. (1996) SCARAB-the effects of reduced herbicide inputs on floral density in two arable rotations, *Aspects of Applied Biology*, **47**: 211-214.
- Setälä, H. and Huhta, V. (1991) Soil fauna increases *Betula pendula* growth: laboratory experiments with coniferous forest floor, *Ecology*, **72**: 665-671.
- Singh, B. R. (1997) Soil pollution and contamination, In R. Lal (ed.) *Methods for assessment of soil degradation*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp: 279-299.
- Tilman, D. (1988) Ecological experimentation: strengths and conceptual problems, In: Likens, G. E. (ed) *Long-term studies in ecology*, Springer- Verlag, New York, pp: 136-157.
- Van Straalen, N. M. (1998) Evaluation of bio-indicator systems derived from soil arthropod communities, *Applied Soil Ecology*, **9**: 429-437.